

# BaYaka Adolescent Boys Nominate Accessible Adult Men as Preferred Spear Hunting Models

## *Les Adolescents BaYaka Nomment les Hommes Adultes Qui Leurs Sont Accessibles Comme Modèles Préférés pour l'Apprentissage de la Chasse à la Lance*

Sheina Lew-Levy, Annemieke Milks, Francy Kiabiya Ntamboudila,  
Tanya Broesch, and Michelle A. Kline

Humans are selective social learners. In a cultural landscape with many potential models, learners must balance the cost associated with learning from successful models with learning from accessible ones. Using structured interviews, we investigate the model selection biases of Congolese BaYaka adolescent boys learning to hunt with spears ( $n = 24$ ; mean age [ $m_{age}$ ] = 15.79 years; range, 12–20 years). Results from social relations models suggest that adolescents nominated accessible adult men (closely related kin and neighbors) as preferred spear hunting models. Direct cues for success were not strong predictors for adolescent nomination in the statistical models, despite learners justifying model selection according to teaching and spear hunting skill. Indirect cues including body mass index, age, and cross-domain prestige were weak predictors for adolescent nomination. We interpret these findings as suggesting that BaYaka spear hunting knowledge is widely shared in the community, with all adult men participating in spear hunting and therefore having the requisite experience to transmit this skill. This supports previous findings that in egalitarian societies with low rates of role specialization, prestige has limited importance for cross-domain learning.

Online enhancements: appendix, R code.

Humans are selective social learners: through model selection biases, children and adults preferentially attend to and learn from individuals who are most likely to have adaptive information (Henrich and Broesch 2011; Henrich and Gil-White 2001; Henrich and McElreath 2003). Learners must balance the costs associated with learning from preferred models against the potential benefits (Henrich and Broesch 2011). If skills are widely shared within a community or if they are easily observable, learners should focus on accessible models (Henrich and Broesch 2011). Accessible models include kin, who may recoup inclusive fitness costs from transmitting knowledge to genetically related learners (Hamilton 1964; Kline, Boyd, and Henrich 2013). Neighbors are also accessible models, as proximity offers more opportunities for learning through observation and copying and for assessing model skill (Corriveau and Harris 2009; Henrich and Gil-White 2001). Once learners have acquired baseline competencies from ac-

cessible models, they may learn specialized, complex, difficult to learn, and hard to observe skills from individuals who exhibit cues for success (Henrich, Boyd, and Richerson 2008; Kline, Boyd, and Henrich 2013; Reyes-García, Gallois, and Delps 2016).

Models may be selected on the basis of direct success cues, including domain-specific knowledge and skill (Henrich and Broesch 2011; Koenig and Harris 2005), and from individuals who are good teachers (Dira and Hewlett 2016; Hewlett 2013, 2016, 2021). If direct success is difficult to interpret, learners may pay attention to indirect cues such as cross-domain prestige under the premise that an individual who is successful in one domain and whom others turn to for learning is likely to be successful in other domains (Henrich and Broesch 2011:1140; see also Chudek et al. 2012). Learners may selectively learn from older individuals (Henrich and Henrich 2010; Wood, Kendal,

**Sheina Lew-Levy** is Postdoctoral Researcher in the Department of Psychology at Simon Fraser University (Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada) and Research Associate in the Department of Archaeology and Heritage Studies at Aarhus University (Aarhus, Denmark [sheina.lewlevy@gmail.com]). **Annemieke Milks** is Honourary Research Fellow at the Institute of Archaeology of University College London (London, United Kingdom). **Francy Kiabiya Ntamboudila** is an Undergraduate Student at Faculté des Lettres, Arts, et Sciences Humaines of Marien Ngouabi University (Brazzaville, Republic of Congo). **Tanya Broesch** is Associate Professor in the Department of Psychology at Simon Fraser University (Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada). **Michelle A. Kline** is Senior Lecturer in the Division of Psychology of the Centre for Culture and Evolution at Brunel University (London, United Kingdom). This paper was submitted 30 IV 20, accepted 3 VII 21, and electronically published 4 X 21.

and Flynn 2012), presumably because they have had more years to accrue knowledge (Henrich and Broesch 2011). Health may be an indirect cue of success, demonstrating that the model has fitness-enhancing knowledge (Henrich and McElreath 2003). Because indirect cues of success are noisy and open to deception, learners should attend to direct success cues whenever possible (Henrich and Gil-White 2001; Jiménez and Mesoudi 2019).

Hunting, especially of larger game with lethal weapons, is a domain in which learners may preferentially attend to models who demonstrate direct or indirect success cues (Dora and Hewlett 2016). This is because such hunting usually occurs away from camp, where younger children cannot directly observe it (Lancy 2016), and because it is a complex skill requiring extensive experience (Walker et al. 2002; but see Bird and Bliege Bird 2005). Assessing hunter skill on the basis of returns is difficult because variation may also be due to prey type targeted or environmental fluctuation (Hill and Kintigh 2009). Spear hunting, defined here as hunting with spears without the use of nets or traps, is particularly difficult because hunters must get relatively close to prey. Because body height and mass may correlate with effective spear use (Coppe et al. 2019; Milks, Parker, and Pope 2019), some growth must occur before learners can successfully target larger game, suggesting that regular in situ learning likely starts in adolescence. Only one study previously examined model selection biases among adolescent spear hunters: Dora and Hewlett (2016) found that Chabu forager adolescent boys from highland Ethiopia preferred to learn from attachment figures including close kin, successful hunters, and good teachers.

The present study contributes to our understanding of model selection biases by testing a series of hypotheses among BaYaka forager adolescent boys learning to spear hunt.<sup>1</sup> We examine accessibility biases by positing that,  $H_1$  (kinship), adolescents will preferentially learn from kin rather than non-kin and,  $H_2$  (proximity), adolescents will preferentially learn from models living in closer proximity to them. We examine biases related to direct cues of success by hypothesizing that,  $H_3$  (teacher quality), adolescents will preferentially learn from good teachers and,  $H_4$  (hunting skill), adolescents will preferentially learn from good spear hunters. Finally, we investigate indirect cues for success by hypothesizing that,  $H_5$  (health), adolescents will preferentially learn from healthier adults;  $H_6$  (age), adolescents will preferentially learn from older adults; and  $H_7$  (cross-domain prestige), adolescents will preferentially learn from prestigious individuals.

## Ethnographic Background

BaYaka inhabit the dense tropical rain forest of the Congo Basin.<sup>2</sup> Data for the present study were collected in a village along the Motaba River in the Likouala Department of the Republic of

Congo. Approximately 32 villages, home to BaYaka foragers and Bantu farmers, line the banks of the river (Kano and Asato 1994). While these villages vary in terms of market integration, travel and migration between them is frequent (Boyette et al., forthcoming). Hunting, gathering, and gardening continue to be the main modes of food production in the village where the present research took place. Women focus on collecting wild yams, nuts, mushrooms, and greens. Men primarily collect honey, hunt with spears, and set traps and snares. Both men and women participate in tending low-maintenance forest gardens, collect liana fruit and caterpillars, and fish (Kitanishi 1995). Approximately six months of the year are based in a multiethnic village where BaYaka work for Bandongo Bantu farmers and participate in daily and overnight foraging excursions (Boyette et al. 2020). The remainder of the year is spent in forest camps during fishing and caterpillar seasons.

While BaYaka men regularly hunt with guns owned and provided to them by Bandongo, meat is given back to the owner of the gun in exchange for market goods. Spear hunting is a primary method by which BaYaka hunt for direct consumption. Spear hunting occurs throughout the year and varies from a solitary to a group activity, depending on prey type and season (Kitanishi 1995). Hunting forays from men-only hunting camps can last a single day or several days. Spear hunters primarily target brush-tailed porcupines, blue duikers, red duikers, red river hogs, and historically, elephants (Kitanishi 1995; Lewis 2002; Lupo and Schmitt 2005). Among Congo Basin foragers, including BaYaka, children as young as three begin learning to hunt with spears in target practice games, pretense play, and rat hunting (fig. 1; for Mbendjele, see Lewis 2002; for Aka, see Hewlett 1991). Children learn the mechanics of spear hunting during other types of hunts, such as when checking traps, as spears are often used to kill prey in these settings. When children are old and strong enough, they accompany fathers and other adult men on hunts for larger prey (for Aka, see Hewlett and Cavalli-Sforza 1986; for BaYaka, see Lew-Levy et al. 2021; Kitanishi 1995). Because spear hunting is an activity primarily—though not exclusively—conducted by men, the present paper focuses on the model selection biases of adolescent boys.

## Methods

Fieldwork took place in July and August 2019. Ethical approval was obtained from Simon Fraser University (2019s0187) and in-country permission from the Institut de Recherche en Sciences Exactes et Naturelles. Community, adult, and parent or guardian consent and unmarried adolescent assent were obtained before the start of research.

## Sample

All adult men and adolescent boys inhabiting the larger of two BaYaka village neighborhoods at the time of data collection were invited to participate in the study. Following BaYaka views on maturity, older adolescents (<20 years) who were married were

1. Data availability: data are available on request. The code used in the analysis can be found in the supplemental files.

2. BaYaka surveyed in the present study are most closely related to the Mbendjele BaYaka (Lewis 2002).




Figure 1. Children hunt rats with expedient spears as part of work play (a), and an adolescent prepares to go spear hunting with his dog (b).

categorized as adults ( $n = 3$ ), and young adults (20 years) who were not married ( $n = 2$ ) were categorized as adolescents. One adult declined to participate, stating that he was preoccupied with other work activities. In total, 24 adolescents (mean age [ $m_{\text{age}}$ ] = 15.79 years; range, 12–20 years) and 47 adults ( $m_{\text{age}} = 37.06$  years; range, 17–70 years) participated in the research.

#### Adolescent Interviews

During a free list task, adolescents were asked, “Who would you like to learn to spear hunt from?” (“Odinga bane ayekodje we botamboli na gongo?”). We focused on prospective models rather than retrospective self-reports to avoid recall biases, which over-emphasize vertical transmission (Aunger 2000; Henrich and Broesch 2011). When participants stopped listing names, we asked them who else they would like to learn from until they indicated that their list was complete.

We did not instruct adolescents to restrict their listing to adults, but all did. Adolescents named an average of 3.33 adults (range, 1–9; fig. S1; figs. S1–S3 are available online). In 21% of cases, adolescents named adults who did not live in the community at the time of data collection. These nominations are discussed qualitatively but are excluded from the statistical models because additional information (e.g., health, hunting skill, prestige) regarding these individuals was not available. Two of these external nominations came from one adolescent who lived in a forest camp full-time but was visiting village kin during data collection and was enthusiastic about participating in our study. We include his responses in the qualitative analysis but excluded him from the statistical models described below.

To examine the attributes attended to in preferred models, we revisited the free list and asked adolescents why they nominated each model. We also asked adolescents about their experience

with spear hunting, what makes a good spear hunting teacher, who had taught them previously, and what they felt they still had to learn. Finally, to estimate when children begin to learn to hunt with spears, we asked adolescents to name a child in the village who was as old as they were when they started learning this skill.

#### Peer Rankings

To assess model skill, we asked a subset of adult men in our sample ( $n = 37$ ) to participate in a peer ranking task. Interviewees were shown pictures of all participating adults, excluding themselves. Each picture was pulled from the deck and handed to the interviewee, and the person depicted was named by the researcher. Once the interviewee recognized the participant, the picture was placed on a table. This was repeated until all pictures were placed in three or four rows. In the interest of identifying the most-skilled community members and of keeping interviews short, interviewees were asked to select up to five individuals from the spread whom they felt surpassed others in each of four attributes: overall hunting skill, spear hunting skill, teaching skill, and welcoming (table 1). Once they had selected five individuals or stated that no other individuals demonstrated the attribute in question, we replaced the selected pictures on the table in a different spot. Unselected pictures remained in the same place. The deck of pictures was shuffled once before each interview, and all interviewees ranked their peers on all four attributes, which were alternated such that each interviewee began the ranking task with a different attribute than the previous interviewee. Most participants ranked the maximum of five peers per question (78%–84%). Interviewee responses were internally consistent (table 1).

Participants who were selected as demonstrating a particular attribute received a score of 1. These were then summed, such



Table 1. Questions asked in the peer ranking task and interrater reliability

Attribute	Question: Of all the people you see here,	Cronbach's $\alpha$
Overall hunting skill	Who brings home the most animals?	.86
Spear hunting skill	Who is the best spear hunter?	.80
Teaching skill	Who is the best teacher?	.88
Welcoming	Who is the most welcoming to newcomers?	.93

Note. Following the steps outlined in Weller (2007), we estimated the internal consistency of interviewees' peer rankings using psych version 2.0.12 (Revelle 2019) in R version 4.0.3 (R Core Team 2013), with missing values imputed.

that if participant A was selected as being a top spear hunter by five peers, he received a rank score of 5 in this attribute category. Since some adult men were on monthlong hunting trips during the start of the research period, their pictures were missing for part of the ranking task. Thus, we divided each participant's attribute-specific rank score by the number of times he appeared in the deck. Distributions show that ranks are skewed toward 0, meaning that few participants were highly ranked (fig. S2).

### Demographic Data

Kinship relationships between adolescents and adults were determined from yearly genealogical interviews starting in 2017 (Boyette et al. 2020). Using the package kinship2 version 1.8.4 (Therneau et al. 2015) in R version 4.0.3 (R Core Team 2013), we estimated the degree of relatedness between adolescents and adults in our sample. We categorized kinship relationships as fathers and brothers ( $r = 0.5$ ), other kin ( $0.125 \leq r < 0.5$ ), and non-kin ( $r < 0.125$ ). While these  $r$  values are based on genealogical distance, they reflect BaYaka kinship relationships. One of the central responsibilities of BaYaka fathers is transmitting subsistence knowledge to their children (Boyette et al. 2020). According to interviews and structured observations, both parents and siblings play a prominent role in the transmission of subsistence knowledge (Lew-Levy et al. 2020, 2021). While less so than fathers, uncles also have a special duty of care to their brothers' children, especially in the event of a brother's death.

Because BaYaka do not track their age in years, a neighborhood-wide age ranking task was conducted in 2018. Age was assigned using a Bayesian approach outlined in Diekmann et al. (2017), in which "ranking and prior age distributions are processed to generate a probability distribution of age per individual" (8209). Mean estimates were adjusted for parent-child age differences of a minimum of 16 years. For individuals absent in 2018, we asked their family members to identify someone born in the village around the same time and considered both individuals to be the same age.

To identify prestigious individuals, we developed a list of men who were on the village council or were healers. Those on the council act in the same capacity as traditional camp spokes-

people (*mokonji* or *kombeti*), who can influence camp movement and subsistence activities (Hewlett 1987). *Nganga* are traditional healers who provide healing to BaYaka and Bantu farmers, usually in exchange for payment. These positions are strong contenders for prestige-biased social learning because councilmen and healers are considered highly skilled within their domains and are turned to for advice across domains, such as in solving interpersonal disputes; because deference is freely conferred to them by community members; and because they are remunerated for their services (Henrich and Gil-White 2001). Master hunters (*tuma*), usually of elephants, also maintain a position of prestige in BaYaka communities (Lewis 2002), but none inhabited the village at the time of data collection. A total of eight (17%) adult participants were identified as holding a prestigious community position.

We collected GPS points for each house in the village. Using geosphere version 1.5-10 (Hijmans 2019), we estimated the distance in meters between the front doors of all adolescent and adult households. To calculate adult body mass index (BMI), we measured height using a Seca stadiometer and weight using an electronic bathroom scale. BMI is an easily implemented measure of nutritional status (Bailey and Ferro-Luzzi 1995). In energetically demanding ecologies, such as those inhabited by BaYaka, higher BMI is an indicator of better physical health. Note that our entire sample's BMI range was classified as "normal weight" as outlined by the World Health Organization (Weir and Jan 2019).

### Analysis

The dependent variable was binary and dyadic, as it measured whether adolescent  $i$  nominated adult  $j$  as a preferred spear hunting model. Since each adolescent could theoretically name any or all adults and all adults could theoretically be named by any or all adolescents, both adolescents and adults are repeated in the data set. We therefore analyzed our data using the binary logistic multilevel social relations model (SRM; Kenny and La Voie 1984; Koster and Aven 2018; Koster and Leckie 2014). A type of network analysis, SRM considers interpersonal interactions to be dyadic. By decomposing dyadic relationships into their component parts (e.g., actor, partner, relationship) and estimating the effects of these components on the outcome, SRMs allow for the simultaneous modeling of behaviors operating on multiple levels (Kenny and La Voie 1984). The data structure for the present analysis can be considered a half-block design because nominations were unidirectional; while adolescents could nominate adults, adults could not nominate adolescents (Malloy 2018).

We fitted five models to the data. Details regarding each variable can be found in table 2. Model 1 (intercept only) served as a baseline comparison for subsequent models and included random effects for adolescent learners and adult models. These random effects were included in models 2–5. Model 2 (accessibility) assessed whether adolescents nominated accessible adults as preferred models and included dyadic-level fixed effects of kinship (father or sibling, other kin) and interhousehold

Table 2. Descriptions of variables in the models

Variable	Description	Variable type	<i>n</i>	Mean	Standard deviation
Adult age	In years	Integer (z-score)	47	37.06	13.94
Adult body mass index	Kilograms per square meter	Continuous (z-score)	47	21.69	1.63
Adult status	Sits on village council or is a healer	Binary (ref = not prestigious)	47	.17	.38
Adult teaching skill	Peer rank	Proportion (z-score)	47	.10	.13
Adult spear hunting skill	Peer rank	Proportion (z-score)	47	.10	.10
Father or sibling	Kinship relationship of $r = .5$	Binary (ref = non-kin)	1,081	.01	.12
Other kin	Kinship relationship of $.125 \leq r < .5$	Binary (ref = non-kin)	1,081	.06	.23
Interhousehold distance	Meters	Continuous (z-score)	1,081	84.41	45.06

Note. ref = reference category.

distance. Model 3 (direct success) examined whether learners nominated adults who were skilled spear hunters and teachers and included adult peer ranks for spear hunting skill and teaching skill. Model 4 (indirect success) examined whether learners attended to indirect cues of success including cross-domain prestige, model age, and BMI. To examine the relative importance of access, direct success, and indirect success, model 5 (full model) included all variables from models 1–4.

Continuous variables were z-score standardized to facilitate estimation. While ideally all four peer ranking variables would be included in the model, these were highly correlated (table S1; tables S1–S5 are available online), resulting in high variance inflation factors (VIFs;  $VIF \geq 4$ ). We excluded peer ranks for welcoming and overall hunting skill from the analysis because these were the least relevant to our hypotheses, resulting in lower VIFs across the independent variables.

Models were fitted using the Hamilton Monte Carlo estimation in RStan (Stan Development Team 2016) via brms version 2.14.4 (Bürkner 2017). Each model was fitted on four chains of 3,000 iterations each, half of which were warm-up iterations. All R-hat Gelman and Rubin convergence diagnostic statistics were smaller than 1.01, and there were no divergent iterations, suggesting good mixing across all models. We compared model fit using widely applicable information criteria (WAIC). We expand on the model with the lowest WAIC because it has the highest probability of making the best predictions with new data (McElreath 2015). As a measure of effect size, we report relative risks, computed by dividing the posterior probabilities associated with and without exposure to each variable. Exploratory correlations were calculated using bayestestR version 0.8.2 (Makowski, Ben-Shachar, and Lüdtke 2019) and BayesFactor version 0.9.12-4.2 (Morey and Rouder 2018).

## Results

All adolescent boys had previously participated in spear hunting (four participated daily, eight weekly, five monthly, seven rarely), and all but three had successfully speared an animal. Participants reported starting to learn to spear hunt between the ages of 10 and 20 ( $m_{\text{age}} = 13$ ). Adolescents reported successfully spearing on average 5.96 animals—primarily small- and medium-size prey—with or without adults present, though these numbers are

skewed by two participants who reported harvesting 23 and 68 animals. While these reports are likely slightly inflated, both participants were older (16 and 19) and often went on hunting expeditions. Participants reported wanting to learn to kill larger animals, to hunt (with headlamps) at night, and to hunt (through tracking and trailing) in the day.

Excluding adolescents who did not respond to the question, participants primarily explained their model selection according to the potential for receiving teaching (60%), opportunities to gain experience (e.g., “To look for animals,” “So I can kill an animal”; 22%), and the model’s hunting skill (16%). A breakdown of justifications in table 3 shows that receiving teaching was the primary reason for selecting preferred models, irrespective of kinship relationship. Adolescents reported that hunting skill was an important attribute of a good teacher (78% of responses; table 4). It was also noted that good teachers teach through instruction (“He tells you [how] to go hunt”), scaffolding (“A good teacher takes you to hunt, he gives you the spear, he gives you the headlamp”), and demonstration (“He walks with spears often and shows me how”).

There was no association between adolescent age and number of nominations ( $r_{\text{median}} = -0.03$ ; 95% credible intervals [CIs],  $-0.35$  to  $0.33$ ; Bayes factor [BF] =  $0.45$ ). Of the 17 nominations for adults who lived outside the community, five were fathers, one was a stepfather, five were uncles, and six were non-kin. When nominations from within and outside the community were considered, there was a weak but positive association between adolescent age and the proportion of non-kin nominated

Table 3. Frequency table showing adolescent justifications for preferred models by learner-model kinship relationship

	Father or sibling	Other kin	Non-kin	Total
To receive teaching	8	9	21	38
To gain experience	2	1	11	14
Spear hunting skill	3	6	1	10
To keep the model company	0	0	1	1
Did not answer/did not know	5	8	4	17
Total	18	24	38	80

Table 4. Adolescent response to the question “What makes a good spear hunting teacher?”

Category	Response
Hunting skill	By his knowledge and will
Hunting skill; teaching	He walks with a spear often and shows me how
Hunting skill	A good teacher knows the forest
Hunting skill	He goes hunting often
Hunting skill	You know him based on how much time he spends in the forest
Hunting skill	He kills animals
Hunting skill	He goes on many hunting trips
Hunting skill	He goes walking [in the forest], he gets things, so I think I have to follow him because he is a good hunter
Hunting skill	He walks with dogs
Hunting skill	He walks in the night. The way he kills the blue duiker, I also want to learn that
Hunting skill	He is a master with the spear
Hunting skill	He walks in the forest often
Hunting skill	He kills many animals, he does not miss
Hunting skill	He goes hunting a lot
Prosociality	By his behavior [because] he shares food.
Teaching	A good teacher takes you to hunt, he gives you the spear, he gives you the headlamp, he tells you [how] to go hunt
Kinship	A good teacher is a father who teaches his child forest knowledge
Ethnicity	He is Mwaka, all BaYaka know how to hunt with spears

Note. Six participants declined to answer or stated that they did not know the answer.

to total nominations ( $r_{\text{median}} = 0.31$ ; 95% CI,  $-0.04$  to  $0.62$ ;  $\text{BF} = 1.85$ ). In total, 27 adult community members were nominated as preferred models at least once by adolescents. Of these preferred models, 63% had previously taught the nominating adolescents to spear hunt, and only four had not previously taught any adolescent in our sample. The number of adolescents taught (range, 0–3) by each nominated adult was not correlated to their peer-ranked spear hunting skill ( $r_{\text{median}} = 0.19$ ; 95% CI,  $-0.15$  to  $0.50$ ;  $\text{BF} = 0.75$ ) and was weakly but positively correlated to teaching skill ( $r_{\text{median}} = 0.24$ ; 95% CI,  $-0.10$  to  $0.55$ ;  $\text{BF} = 1.03$ ). Adult age, prestige, and BMI positively predicted peer-ranked spear hunting and teaching skill (table S2).

All model results can be found in table 5. A comparison of WAIC suggests that while both model 2 (accessibility) and model 5 (full model) were comparable in their fit to the data, model 5 had the lowest WAIC. Thus, we expand on the results from the latter model. In support of  $H_1$ , kinship relationship was a strong predictor for nominations, with adolescents 16.68 times more likely to nominate fathers or siblings and 7.16 times more likely to nominate other kin than non-kin. Note that with fewer observations of father and sibling dyads, CIs for this kinship category are large (fig. 2). In support of  $H_2$ , interhousehold distance was a strong predictor for nomination, with adolescents 1.87 times less likely to nominate an adult as a preferred model with every standard deviation (45.06 m) increase in distance

between their households (fig. 3).  $H_3$  was not supported; adolescents were only 1.08 times more likely to nominate an adult as a preferred model with every standard deviation increase in peer-ranked teaching skill. There was weak (i.e., 95% CI crossed 0) support for  $H_4$ ; hunting skill was a positive predictor for nomination, with adolescents 1.28 times more likely to nominate an adult as a preferred model with every standard deviation increase in their peer-ranked spear hunting skill. Figures 2 and 3 show that while CIs for spear hunting skill are wide, being a highly ranked spear hunter increases the probability of nomination for close kin and neighbors. There was weak support for  $H_5$ ; BMI was a positive predictor for nomination, with adolescents 1.33 times more likely to nominate an adult as a preferred model with every standard deviation increase in their BMI. Contrary to  $H_6$ , adult age was a negative and weak predictor for nomination, with adolescents 1.25 times less likely to nominate an adult as a preferred model with every standard deviation increase in their age. Contrary to  $H_7$ , adult prestige was a negative and weak predictor for nomination, with adolescents 1.50 times less likely to nominate a prestigious versus nonprestigious adult as a preferred model. We also note wide CIs associated with the effect of low and high prestige on the probability of nomination (fig. S3). While the data were sparse (63 nominations for 1,081 dyads), our results are supported by additional analyses (tables S4, S5).

## Discussion

Using data collected among BaYaka foragers, the present paper investigated the model selection biases of adolescent spear hunters. That models 2 (accessibility) and 5 (full model) had comparable WAIC and that kinship and interhousehold distance were the strongest predictors for nomination suggest that accessibility was an important factor in adolescent model selection. While a fifth of nominations were from outside the study community, the exclusion of external nominations is unlikely to bias our results toward accessible models because more than half of external nominations were fathers or uncles and because many of the external models lived with adolescents in forest camps for part of the year. Since our sample was small, our statistical analyses likely could not confidently detect effects for model selection biases based on direct and indirect cues of success. Still, we found weak but positive support for the hypothesis that adolescents would preferentially nominate good spear hunters as models. Our findings are consistent with those of Dira and Hewlett (2016), who found that Chabu adolescents named both attachment figures and good hunters as preferred spear hunting models and that “they preferred to learn from their fathers and friends because they knew how to hunt well” (80).

That adolescents preferentially learned from accessible models reflects the fact that spear hunting knowledge is widely shared by nearly all adult BaYaka men in the studied community. Indeed, peer rankings for spear hunting skill were the most evenly distributed of the four peer-ranked attributes (fig. S2). Further, one adolescent explicitly stated that a good teacher was any Mwaka

Table 5. Posterior means (95% credible intervals) for models 1–5 investigating adolescent model selection

	Model 1 (intercept only)	Model 2 (access)	Model 3 (direct success)	Model 4 (indirect success)	Model 5 (full model)
Intercept	−2.92 (−3.40 to −2.54)*	−3.52 (−4.07 to −3.08)*	−2.96 (−3.42 to −2.57)*	−3.09 (−3.56 to −2.68)*	−3.57 (−4.15 to −3.05)*
Father or sibling		3.45 (2.42 to 4.56)*			3.47 (2.37 to 4.60)*
Other kin		2.13 (1.44 to 2.82)*			2.17 (1.45 to 2.88)*
Household distance <sup>a</sup>		−.62 (−.95 to −.31)*			−.65 (−.98 to −.32)*
Model teacher skill <sup>a</sup>			.03 (−.40 to .44)		.07 (−.46 to .60)
Model spear skill <sup>a</sup>			.28 (−.13 to .68)		.26 (−.22 to .73)
Model status				.57 (−.20 to 1.37)	−.40 (−1.43 to .67)
Model age <sup>a</sup>				.04 (−.30 to .38)	−.24 (−.75 to .25)
Model BMI <sup>a</sup>				.44 (.15 to .76)*	.29 (−.04 to .65)
$\sigma^2_{\text{learner}}$	.23 (.06 to .92)	.36 (.08 to 1.13)	.24 (.05 to .96)	.23 (.05 to .96)	.39 (.14 to 1.13)
$\sigma^2_{\text{model}}$	.29 (.04 to 1.10)	.23 (.02 to 1.07)	.27 (.03 to 1.09)	.20 (.02 to 1.00)	.22 (.02 to 1.10)
WAIC	473.0	354.4	472.0	468.7	353.9

Note. BMI = body mass index; WAIC = widely applicable information criteria.

<sup>a</sup> Standardized z-score.

\* Ninety-five percent credible interval that does not include zero.




Figure 2. Predictions from model 5, showing the effect of adolescent-adult kinship relationship on the probability of an adolescent nominating an adult as a preferred spear hunting model. Predictions in black are for adults whose peer-ranked spear hunting skill is at the sample mean (0.1). Predictions in blue are for adults whose peer-ranked spear hunting skill is at the sample maximum (0.41). Other variables are held at their mean or reference value. Error bars indicate 95% credible intervals.






Figure 3. Predictions from model 5, showing the effect of adolescent-adult interhousehold distance on the probability of an adolescent nominating an adult as a preferred spear hunting model. Predictions in black are for adults whose peer-ranked spear hunting skill is at the sample mean (0.1). Predictions in blue are for adults whose peer-ranked spear hunting skill is at the sample maximum (0.41). Other variables are held at their mean or reference value. The shaded area indicates 95% credible intervals.

because “all BaYaka know how to hunt with spears” (table 4). In a previous study, we found that all BaYaka adult men reported knowing how to hunt with spears (Lew-Levy et al. 2021). These findings echo reports from neighboring Aka (Hewlett and Cavall-Sforza 1986). Spear hunting skill may be widely shared because this type of hunting is an efficient method for capturing small- and medium-size game; alongside snaring, spear hunting yields “the highest post-encounter rate as scaled by prey size” (Lupo and Schmitt 2005:6). Also, spear hunting is not season specific but rather is conducted throughout the year (Kitanishi 1995; Lupo and Schmitt 2005). Because spear hunting is a widely practiced and reliable method for collecting game, learners have ample opportunity to participate in this activity and thus less incentive to seek out higher-skilled but potentially costlier models. Nonetheless, adolescents did state that they selected models on the basis of spear hunting skill, and this effect was picked up, though weakly, in our model. Learners may pay closer attention to model attributes such as skill when learning about specific aspects of spear hunting, including how to hunt large or dangerous game, rituals, supernatural beliefs, sharing norms, and taboos regarding hunting, because not all adults hold this information (Lewis 2002). Model attributes may also be more important when acquiring innovations because these skills are not yet shared by the wider community (Hewlett 2013, 2016, 2021; Lewis 2015).

Receiving teaching was the most frequently mentioned reason for selecting a model, and most nominated adults had previously taught adolescents to hunt with spears. Teaching may be especially important for the acquisition of hard to observe and complex skills (Boyette and Hewlett 2017; Csibra and Gergely 2011; Kline 2015). The importance of learning from good teachers

was mentioned by Chabu adolescents learning to spear hunt (Dira and Hewlett 2016) and by both Chabu and Aka adolescents from the Central African Republic seeking to learn innovations (Hewlett 2013, 2016, 2021). BaYaka adolescents in the present study reported that good teachers taught by scaffolding, demonstration, and instruction. Hewlett (2013) also reports that Aka adolescents view good teachers as “those who were patient, taught slowly, gave directed instruction and ensured the student correctly performed the new task” (192). In other words, good teachers are those who facilitate the accurate transmission of cultural knowledge by calling attention to relevant stimuli (Kline 2016). As in Hewlett (2013), BaYaka adolescents in the present study reported that hunting skill was also an important attribute of a good teacher. While adult teaching skill was not a strong predictor for nomination in the statistical models, this variable was based on peer rankings. Adolescents may pay attention to different aspects of teacher quality than adults. Adolescent rankings may have better captured perceived teaching skill, representing a limitation of the present study.

Prestige-biased transmission has been found in ethnographic accounts, primarily among Fijians, who maintain age-sex and clan-based social hierarchy (Henrich and Broesch 2011; Henrich and Henrich 2010). However, we did not find that prestigious individuals were nominated as preferred models in the present study. Our findings echo those of Garfield, Garfield, and Hewlett (2016), whose survey of hunter-gatherers found limited evidence for prestige-biased transmission. Similarly, while peer nominations for mentor salience were positively related to leadership rankings among Chabu, it was not a better predictor than other variables (e.g., likability), suggesting that prestigious leaders do not have a specialized role for knowledge transmission in this society (Garfield and Hagen 2019). Tightly knit settlements that provide learners with opportunities to observe and assess the skill of many cultural models (Hewlett et al. 2019), prestige-avoiding cultural norms (Boehm et al. 1993; Wiessner 1996), and low rates of role specialization (Jiménez and Mesoudi 2019) may limit the importance of prestige in cross-domain learning in egalitarian societies.

It is important to note that, because of our small sample size, we were unable to examine the interaction between learner age and model attributes in the probability of nomination. Several studies have shown that whom individuals learn from changes across the life course, reflecting development in skill, social status, and access to models (Dempsey et al. 2012; Henrich, Boyd, and Richerson 2008; Kline, Boyd, and Henrich 2013; Lew-Levy et al. 2020; Reyes-García, Gallois, and Dempsey 2016). For example, Dempsey et al. (2012), working with Indian Jenu Kuruba, found that the importance of fathers to the transmission of honey collection knowledge declined with learner age, while learning from successful individuals increased with age. As with other hunting types (Koster et al. 2020; Ohtsuka 1989; Walker et al. 2002), the development of spear hunting skill continues into adulthood (see fig. S2 in Lew-Levy et al. 2021). Thus, it is possible that learning from models who exhibit direct and indirect cues of success is more common among adult spear hunting learners



than adolescents. Beyond age-related learning patterns, more general indirect cues such as overall intelligence or likability may be better signals for model selection biases than the variables selected in this paper (Jiménez and Mesoudi 2019). Despite these limitations, the results of the present study add to our understanding of cross-cultural variability in model selection biases by demonstrating that BaYaka adolescent spear hunters select accessible adults as preferred models.

## Acknowledgments

Special thanks to all participants in the study. Funding for this research was provided by awards from the Wenner-Gren Foundation (no. 9789) and the Leakey Foundation to S. Lew-Levy and A. Milks. Research was also supported by a Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada Postdoctoral Fellowship (no. 756-2019-0102) to S. Lew-Levy. Thanks to Professor Clobite Bouka-Biona from the Institut de Recherche en Sciences Exactes et Naturelles, who facilitated the acquisition of research permits and infrastructure; DZABATOU Moise, who served as a community liaison; DZABATOU Ardain, who provided additional contextual information; and our field assistant, MEKOUNO Paul. Thanks to Zachary Garfield, Bonnie Hewlett, and Barry Hewlett for feedback on the manuscript and to Jeremy Koster and Erik Ringen for answering questions about model formulation and results. Finally, thanks to Bret A. Beheim and two anonymous reviewers for their helpful suggestions. S. Lew-Levy and A. Milks designed the study. S. Lew-Levy collected the data, with help from F. Kiabiya Ntamboudila. S. Lew-Levy conducted analyses and wrote the text. All authors gave critical feedback and approved the final version of the manuscript.

## References Cited

- Aunger, Robert. 2000. The life history of culture learning in a face-to-face society. *Ethos* 28(3):445–481, <https://doi.org/10.1525/eth.2000.28.3.445>.
- Bailey, K. V., and A. Ferro-Luzzi. 1995. Use of body mass index of adults in assessing individual and community nutritional status. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* 73(5):673–678.
- Bird, Douglas W., and Rebecca Bliege Bird. 2005. Mardu children's hunting strategies in the Western Desert, Australia. In *Hunter-gatherer childhoods: evolutionary, developmental and cultural perspectives*. Barry S. Hewlett and Michael E. Lamb, eds. Pp. 129–147. New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction.
- Boehm, Christopher, Harold B. Barclay, Robert Knox Dentan, Marie-Claude Dupre, Jonathan D. Hill, Susan Kent, Bruce M. Knauff, Keith F. Otterbein, and Steve Rayner. 1993. Egalitarian behavior and reverse dominance hierarchy. *Current Anthropology* 34(3):227–254, <https://doi.org/10.1086/204166>.
- Boyette, Adam H., and Barry S. Hewlett. 2017. Teaching in hunter-gatherers. *Review of Philosophy and Psychology* 9:771–797, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13164-017-0347-2>.
- Boyette, Adam H., Sheina Lew-Levy, H. Jang, and C. Kandza. Forthcoming. Social ties in the Congo Basin: insights into tropical forest adaptation from BaYaka and their neighbors. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*.
- Boyette, Adam H., Sheina Lew-Levy, Mallika S. Sarma, Miegakanda Valchy, and Lee T. Gettler. 2020. Fatherhood, egalitarianism, and child health in two small-scale societies in the Republic of the Congo. *American Journal of Human Biology* 32(4):1–15, <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajhb.23342>.
- Bürkner, Paul Christian. 2017. Brms: an R package for Bayesian multilevel models using Stan. *Journal of Statistical Software* 80(1):1–28, <https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v080.i01>.
- Chudek, Maciej, Sarah Heller, Susan Birch, and Joseph Henrich. 2012. Prestige-biased cultural learning: bystander's differential attention to potential models influences children's learning. *Evolution and Human Behavior* 33(1):46–56, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2011.05.005>.
- Coppe, J., C. Lepers, V. Clarenne, E. Delaunoy, M. Pirlot, and V. Rots. 2019. Ballistic study tackles kinetic energy values of Palaeolithic weaponry. *Archaeometry* 2(4):107–124, <https://doi.org/10.1111/arc.12452>.
- Corriveau, Kathleen, and Paul L. Harris. 2009. Choosing your informant: weighing familiarity and recent accuracy. *Developmental Science* 12(3):426–437, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7687.2008.00792.x>.
- Csibra, Gergely, and György Gergely. 2011. Natural pedagogy as evolutionary adaptation. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B* 366(1567):1149–1157, <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0319>.
- Demps, Kathryn, Francisco Zorondo-Rodríguez, Claude García, and Victoria Reyes-García. 2012. Social learning across the life cycle: cultural knowledge acquisition for honey collection among the Jenu Kuruba, India. *Evolution and Human Behavior* 33(5):460–470, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.EVOLHUMBEHAV.2011.12.008>.
- Diekmann, Yoan, Daniel Smith, Pascale Gerbault, Mark Dyble, Abigail E. Page, Nikhil Chaudhary, Andrea Bamberg Migliano, and Mark G. Thomas. 2017. Accurate age estimation in small-scale societies. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 114(31):8205–8210, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1619583114>.
- Dira, Samuel Jilo, and Barry S. Hewlett. 2016. Learning to spear hunt among Ethiopian Chabu adolescent hunter-gatherers. In *Social learning and innovation in contemporary hunter-gatherers*. Hideaki Terashima and Barry S. Hewlett, eds. Pp. 71–81. Tokyo: Springer.
- Garfield, Zachary H., Melissa J. Garfield, and Barry S. Hewlett. 2016. A cross-cultural analysis of hunter-gatherer social learning. In *Social learning and innovation in contemporary hunter-gatherers: evolutionary and ethnographic perspectives*. Hideaki Terashima and Barry S. Hewlett, eds. Pp. 19–34. Tokyo: Springer.
- Garfield, Zachary H., and Edward H. Hagen. 2019. Investigating evolutionary models of leadership among recently settled Ethiopian hunter-gatherers. *Leadership Quarterly* 31(2):101290, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2019.03.005>.
- Hamilton, W. D. 1964. The genetical evolution of social behaviour. I. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 7(1):1–16, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-5193\(64\)90038-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-5193(64)90038-4).
- Henrich, Joseph, Robert Boyd, and Peter J. Richerson. 2008. Five misunderstandings about cultural evolution. *Human Nature* 19(2):119–137, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12110-008-9037-1>.
- Henrich, Joseph, and James Broesch. 2011. On the nature of cultural transmission networks: evidence from Fijian villages for adaptive learning biases. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B* 366(1567):1139–1148, <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0323>.
- Henrich, Joseph, and Francisco J. Gil-White. 2001. The evolution of prestige: freely conferred deference as a mechanism for enhancing the benefits of cultural transmission. *Evolution and Human Behavior* 22(3):165–196, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1090-5138\(00\)00071-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1090-5138(00)00071-4).
- Henrich, Joseph, and Natalie Henrich. 2010. The evolution of cultural adaptations: Fijian food taboos protect against dangerous marine toxins. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 277(1701):3715–3724, <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2010.1191>.
- Henrich, Joseph, and Richard McElreath. 2003. The evolution of cultural evolution. *Evolutionary Anthropology* 12(3):123–135, <https://doi.org/10.1002/evan.10110>.
- Hewlett, Barry S. 1987. Sexual selection and paternal investment among Aka Pygmies. In *Human reproductive behaviour: a Darwinian perspective*. Laura L. Betzig, Monique Borgerhoff Mulder, and Paul W. Turke, eds. Pp. 263–276. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- . 1991. *Intimate fathers: the nature and context of Aka Pygmy paternal infant care*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- Hewlett, Barry S., and L. L. Cavalli-Sforza. 1986. Cultural transmission among Aka Pygmies. *American Anthropologist* 88(4):922–934, <https://doi.org/10.1525/aa.1986.88.4.02a00100>.
- Hewlett, Barry S., Jean Hudson, Adam H. Boyette, and Hillary N. Fouts. 2019. Intimate living: sharing space among Aka and other hunter-gatherers. In *Interdisciplinary perspective on sharing among hunter-gatherers in the past and present*. D. E. Friesem and Noa Lavi, eds. Pp. 39–56. Cambridge: MacDonald Institute Monograph Series.
- Hewlett, Bonnie L. 2013. “Ekeloko” the spirit to create: innovation and social learning among Aka adolescents of the central African rainforest. In *Dynamics of learning in Neanderthals and modern humans*, vol. 1. Takeru

- Akazawa, Yoshihiro Nishiaki, and Kenichi Aoki, eds. Pp. 187–195. Tokyo: Springer, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-4-431-54511-8\\_11](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-4-431-54511-8_11).
- . 2016. Innovation, processes of social learning, and modes of cultural transmission among the Chabu adolescent forager-farmers of Ethiopia. In *Social learning and innovation in contemporary hunter-gatherers*. Hideaki Terashima and Barry S. Hewlett, eds. Pp. 203–216. Tokyo: Springer.
- . 2021. Social learning and innovation in adolescence: a comparative study of Aka and Chabu hunter-gatherers of central and eastern Africa. *Human Nature* 32:239–278.
- Hijmans, Robert J. 2019. Introduction to the “geosphere” package (version 1.5-10). <http://geographiclib.sourceforge.net/>.
- Hill, Kim, and Keith Kintigh. 2009. Can anthropologists distinguish good and poor hunters? implications for hunting hypotheses, sharing conventions, and cultural transmission. *Current Anthropology* 50(3):369–377, <https://doi.org/10.1086/597981>.
- Jiménez, Ángel V., and Alex Mesoudi. 2019. Prestige-biased social learning: current evidence and outstanding questions. *Palgrave Communications* 5(1):1–12, <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-019-0228-7>.
- Kano, Takayoshi, and Ryu Asato. 1994. Hunting pressure on chimpanzees and gorillas in the Mot Aba River area, northeastern Congo. *African Study Monographs* 15(3):143–162.
- Kenny, David A., and Lawrence La Voie. 1984. The social relations model. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology* 18:141–182, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2601\(08\)60144-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2601(08)60144-6).
- Kitanishi, Koichi. 1995. Seasonal changes in the subsistence activities and food intake of the Aka hunter-gatherers in northeastern Congo. *African Study Monographs* 16(2):73–118.
- Kline, Michelle A. 2015. How to learn about teaching: an evolutionary framework for the study of teaching behavior in humans and other animals. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* 38(2):1–17, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X14000090>.
- . 2016. TEACH: an ethogram-based method to observe and record teaching behavior. *Field Methods* 29(3):205–220, <https://doi.org/10.1177/1525822X16669282>.
- Kline, Michelle A., Robert Boyd, and Joseph Henrich. 2013. Teaching and the life history of cultural transmission in Fijian villages. *Human Nature* 24(4): 351–374, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12110-013-9180-1>.
- Koenig, Melissa A., and Paul L. Harris. 2005. Preschoolers mistrust ignorant and inaccurate speakers. *Child Development* 76(6):1261–1277, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2005.00849.x>.
- Koster, Jeremy, and Brandy Aven. 2018. The effects of individual status and group performance on network ties among teammates in the National Basketball Association. Floriana Gargiulo, ed. *PLoS ONE* 13(4):e0196013, <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0196013>.
- Koster, Jeremy, and George Leckie. 2014. Food sharing networks in lowland Nicaragua: an application of the social relations model to count data. *Social Networks* 38(1):100–110, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socnet.2014.02.002>.
- Koster, Jeremy, Richard McElreath, Kim Hill, Douglas Yu, Glenn Shepard, Nathalie van Vliet, Michael Gurven, et al. 2020. The life history of human foraging: cross-cultural and individual variation. *Science Advances* 6(26): eaax9070, <https://doi.org/10.1101/574483>.
- Lancy, David F. 2016. Playing with knives: the socialization of self-initiated learners. *Child Development* 87(3):654–665, <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.12498>.
- Lew-Levy, Sheina, Stephen M. Kissler, Adam H. Boyette, Alyssa N. Crittenden, Ibrahim A. Mabulla, and Barry S. Hewlett. 2020. Who teaches children to forage? exploring the primacy of child-to-child teaching among the Hadza and BaYaka hunter-gatherers of Tanzania and Congo. *Evolution and Human Behavior* 40(1):12–22, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2019.07.003>.
- Lew-Levy, Sheina, Erik Ringen, Alyssa N. Crittenden, Ibrahim A. Mabulla, Tanya Broesch, and Michelle Kline. 2021. The life history of learning subsistence skills among Hadza and BaYaka foragers from Tanzania and the Republic of Congo. *Human Nature* 32:16–47, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12110-021-09386-9>.
- Lewis, Jerome. 2002. Forest hunter-gatherers and their world: a study of Mbendjele Yaka pygmies of Congo-Brazzaville and their secular and religious activities and representations. PhD dissertation, London School of Economics and Politics.
- . 2015. Where goods are free but knowledge costs. *Hunter Gatherer Research* 1(1):1–27, <https://doi.org/10.3828/hgr.2015.2>.
- Lupo, Karen D., and Dave N. Schmitt. 2005. Small prey hunting technology and zooarchaeological measures of taxonomic diversity and abundance: ethnoarchaeological evidence from central African forest foragers. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 24(4):335–353, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaa.2005.02.002>.
- Makowski, Dominique, Mattan Ben-Shachar, and Daniel Lüdtke. 2019. BayesestR: describing effects and their uncertainty, existence and significance within the Bayesian framework. *Journal of Open Source Software* 4(40): 1541, <https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01541>.
- Malloy, Thomas E. 2018. *Social relations modeling of behavior in dyads and groups*. New York: Academic Press.
- McElreath, Richard. 2015. *Statistical rethinking: a Bayesian course with examples in R and Stan*. London: Chapman & Hall.
- Milks, Annemieke, David Parker, and Matt Pope. 2019. External ballistics of Pleistocene hand-thrown spears: experimental performance data and implications for human evolution. *Scientific Reports* 9(1):820, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-37904-w>.
- Morey, Richard D., and Jeffrey N. Rouder. 2018. BayesFactor: computation of Bayes factors for common designs. <https://richarddmorey.github.io/BayesFactor/>.
- Ohtsuka, Ryutaro. 1989. Hunting activity and aging among the Gidra Papuans: a biobehavioral analysis. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 80(1): 31–39, <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.1330800105>.
- R Core Team. 2013. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Revelle, William. 2019. Procedures for psychological, psychometric, and personality research (R Package Psych version 1.9.12.31). Evanston, IL: Northwestern University.
- Reyes-García, Victoria, Sandrine Gallois, and Kathryn Demps. 2016. A multi-stage learning model for cultural transmission: evidence from three Indigenous societies. In *Social learning and innovation in contemporary hunter-gatherers*. Hideaki Terashima and Barry S. Hewlett, eds. Pp. 47–60. Tokyo: Springer.
- Stan Development Team. 2016. RStan: the R interface to Stan. [https://mran.microsoft.com/snapshot/2016-03-15/web/packages/rstan/vignettes/rstan\\_vignette.pdf](https://mran.microsoft.com/snapshot/2016-03-15/web/packages/rstan/vignettes/rstan_vignette.pdf).
- Therneau, T., E. Atkinson, J. Sinnwell, D. Schaid, and S. McDonnell. 2015. Package “kinship2.” <https://mran.microsoft.com/snapshot/2015-07-11/web/packages/kinship2/kinship2.pdf>.
- Walker, Robert, Kim R. Hill, Hillard S. Kaplan, and Garnett McMillan. 2002. Age-dependency in hunting ability among the Ache of eastern Paraguay. *Journal of Human Evolution* 42(6):639–657, <https://doi.org/10.1006/jhev.2001.0541>.
- Weir, Connor B., and Arif Jan. 2019. BMI classification percentile and cut off points. Treasure Island, FL: StatPearls. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31082114>.
- Weller, Susan C. 2007. Cultural consensus theory: applications and frequently asked questions. *Field Methods* 19(4):339–368, <https://doi.org/10.1177/1525822X07303502>.
- Wiessner, Polly. 1996. Leveling the hunter: constraints on the status quest in foraging societies. In *Food and the status quest: an interdisciplinary perspective*. Polly Wiessner and Wulf Schiefelgovel, eds. Pp. 171–191. Providence, RI: Berghahn.
- Wood, Lara A., Rachel L. Kendal, and Emma G. Flynn. 2012. Context-dependent model-based biases in cultural transmission: children’s imitation is affected by model age over model knowledge state. *Evolution and Human Behavior* 33(4): 387–394, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.EVOLHUMBEHAV.2011.11.010>.